

GUIDELINES FOR REVIEWING A CORE READING PROGRAM RUBRIC AWARD Grade 2

Directions for use:

- Thoroughly review the core reading program using the corresponding rubric.
- Only evaluate the individual components that correlate with the grade level to review. *(Note the placement of the “x” within the grade level columns.)*
- Each indicator must receive a score using the following criteria:
 - 2 – Exceeds expectations
 - 1 – Meets expectations
 - 0 – Does not meet expectations*(For any indicator receiving a 0, comments must be provided justifying the score.)*
- Record the total score for each area in the final row for the section.



The Reviewing a Reading Program Professional Development Participant’s Guide publication was created for the Center on Instruction by the Florida Center for Reading Research at Florida State University. The Center on Instruction is operated by RMC Research Corporation in partnership with the Florida Center for Reading Research at Florida State University; Instructional Research Group; Lawrence Hall of Science at the University of California – Berkeley; Texas Institute for Measurement, Evaluation, and Statistics at the University of Houston; and The Meadows Center for Preventing Educational Risk at the University of Texas at Austin.

Guideline forms excerpted from *Reviewing a Reading Program Professional Development Module, Participant’s Guide*, 2008, Center on Instruction.

The contents of the module and this document were developed under cooperative agreement S283B050034 with the U.S. Department of Education. However, these contents do not necessarily represent the policy of the Department of Education, and you should not assume endorsement by the Federal Government.

INSTRUCTIONAL DESIGN (ID)	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	Score	Comments (examples, strengths, concerns, questions)
1. Is there empirical research on this program's efficacy?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
2. Are resources available to help the teacher understand the rationale for the instructional approach and program strategies (e.g., articles, explanations in the teacher manuals, references, and reliable websites)?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
3. Does the comprehensive program address the five components of reading (phonological/phonemic awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary and comprehension)?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
4. In addition to the five components of reading, are other dimensions of reading such as spelling, writing, oral language, and listening comprehension addressed?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
5. Is there a scope and sequence?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
6. Are goals and objectives clearly stated?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	The teacher notes are very minimal and not able to find "clear" goals and objectives".
7. Are student materials aligned with instructional objective of the lesson?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	Unclear of what the objectives of each lesson are.
8. Do instructional materials increase in difficulty as students' skills strengthen?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	No evidence found.
9. Are all lessons and activities (e.g., whole group, small group, and centers) reading-related?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
10. Is there a clear and logical organization to the lessons in:									
the order and procedures of each day's lesson?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	Procedures not clear and logical.
the inclusion of all necessary materials?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	No evidence found.
the consistency of each day's lesson format?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
addressing the components of reading every day?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	The components of reading are basically discussed in the teacher's notes pages.
11. Is instruction consistently explicit? Is it concise, specific, and related to the objective?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	No evidence found.
12. Are teacher directives highly details to ensure accurate implementation?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	Not highly detailed.
13. Does the lesson format facilitate frequent interactions between teacher and students?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
14. Is instruction consistently systematic? Is there a prescribed order for introducing specific skills within each component of reading?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	

15. Are there coordinated instructional sequences and instructional routines which include:									
Modeling?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	Not a lot of modeling by the teacher.
Guided practice with feedback?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	Very minimal
Student practice and application?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
Cumulative review?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	No evidence found.
16. Are there many guided practice opportunities for explicit teaching and teacher-directed feedback (for typically progressing readers and more for struggling readers)?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
17. Does the program provide clear guidance for the teacher to document student progress and inform instruction?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	No evidence found.
18. Does instruction make a clear connection <i>among</i> all five components?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	Five components are taught, but are not connected.
19. Is scaffolding a prominent part of the lessons?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	No evidence found.
20. Are instructions for scaffolding specific within each lesson?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	No evidence found.
21. Are teachers encouraged to give immediate, specific feedback (corrective or positive)?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	No evidence found.
22. Is differentiated instruction prominent?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	Many choices, though not clear which would support students needing intervention.
23. Is instruction differentiated based on assessment?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	No evidence found.
24. Are directions for differentiating instruction specific?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	No evidence found.
25. Is small-group instruction (small teacher-pupil ratio) part of daily instruction?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
26. Are there guidelines for forming flexible groups based on student progress?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	No evidence found.
27. Are enrichment activities included for advanced students?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	Lots and lots of activities, though not clearly stated which ones are for which students.
28. Does the program provide instruction for English Learners?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
29. Does the program specify for whom it is appropriate (e.g., students on or above grade level, students slightly behind their peers, students more than one grade level behind their peers)?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	No evidence found.
30. Does the program specify who should provide instruction for accurate implementation (e.g., special education teacher, general education teacher, paraprofessional, or volunteer)?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	No evidence found.

31. Does the program specify the instructional setting (e.g., general education classroom, computer lab, or resource room)?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	No evidence found.
TOTAL								18	

PHONICS (P)	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	Score	Comments (examples, strengths, concerns, questions)
1. Is phonics instruction explicit ?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
2. Is phonics instruction systematic ?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
3. Does phonics instruction include coordinated instructional sequences and routines ?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
4. Is phonics instruction scaffolded ?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
5. Does phonics instruction include cumulative review ?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	No evidence found.
6. Are assessments included to measure and monitor progress in phonics?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	No evidence found.
7. Are individual letter-sounds taught first, followed by digraphs, blends, and word families?	X	X	X					1	
8. Are digraphs taught as single sounds (e.g., /sh/, /ch/, /th/, /ai/, /ea/)?		X	X					1	
9. Are individual sounds in a blend taught?		X	X					1	
10. Are students taught an explicit strategy to decode words by their individual sounds?	X	X	X					1	
11. Do students practice decoding words that contain only those letter-sounds that have been previously taught?	X	X	X					1	
12. Are symbol to sound (decoding) and sound to symbol (spelling) taught explicitly?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
13. Is spelling taught during word learning so students can understand how sounds map onto print?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
14. Does instruction progress from simple to more complex concepts (e.g., CVC words before CCCVCC words and single syllable words before multisyllabic words)?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
15. Are reviews of previously taught concepts and words frequent and cumulative?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	No evidence found.
16. Is emphasis placed on fluency practice for each phonics	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	No evidence found.

component (e.g., sound identification, CVC blending, word recognition, multisyllabic words, and text reading)?									
17. Are there ample decodable texts (familiar and unfamiliar) for students to practice applying their skills with phonic elements?	X	X	X	X				1	
18. Are decodable texts read before trade books (for students to master new skills)?	X	X	X	X				1	
19. Does the program clarify that high frequency words can be both regular and irregular?	X	X	X	X				1	
20. Does the program include explicit instruction in irregular words and decoding strategies for the decodable parts of words (clarifying that the letters represent their most common sounds as well as the irregularities of certain letters)?	X	X	X	X				1	
21. Are the numbers of high frequency, irregular words introduced in one lesson kept to a minimum?	X	X	X	X				1	
22. Are irregular words pre-taught before students read connected texts?	X	X	X	X				1	
23. Are difficult, high frequency words reviewed often and cumulatively?	X	X	X	X				0	No evidence found.
24. Is there sufficient practice with individual letter-sounds before larger orthographic units are taught?		X	X					1	
25. Are students taught the strategy of chunking when trying to decode multisyllabic words?		X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
26. Does the program provide teacher modeling of a think-aloud strategy to aid in multisyllabic word analysis?		X	X	X	X	X	X	0	No evidence found.
27. Are students taught strategies to read multisyllabic words by using prefixes, suffixes, and known word parts?		X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
28. Is instruction explicit in the use of syllable types (e.g., open, closed, vowel-consonant-e, vowel combinations, r-controlled, and consonant-le)?		X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
29. Is a section of the program devoted to advances phonics (structural analysis) skills?			X	X	X	X	X	1	
30. Are advanced phonics skills taught explicitly, first in isolation and then in words and connected texts?			X	X	X	X	X	1	
31. Does the program include spelling strategies (e.g., word sorts, categorization activities, word-building activities, and word analogies)?		X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
32. Is instruction in the meaning of roots and affixes explicit and do students analyze the relationship of spelling to meaning of complex words?				X	X	X	X	1	
33. Are word parts that occur with high frequency (e.g., un-, re-, in-, and -ful) taught rather than those that occur only in a few words?		X	X	X	X	X	X	1	

34. Are there activities for distinguishing and interpreting words with multiple meanings?		X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
35. Once advanced phonics strategies have been mastered, are they immediately applied to reading and interpreting familiar and unfamiliar connected texts?		X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
36. Are words used in advanced phonics activities also found in student texts?		X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
TOTAL								30	

FLUENCY (F)	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	Score	Comments (examples, strengths, concerns, questions)
1. Is fluency instruction explicit ?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
2. Is fluency instruction systematic ?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
3. Does fluency instruction include coordinated instructional sequences and routines?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
4. Is fluency instruction scaffolded ?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
5. Does fluency instruction include cumulative review ?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
6. Are assessments included to measure and monitor progress in fluency?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	No evidence found.
7. Does the program address all dimensions of fluency (speed, accuracy, and prosody)?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
8. Does the program encourage the teacher to model speed, accuracy, and prosody?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
9. Does fluency practice during letter-sound study and text reading involve the teacher's providing feedback to students?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	No evidence found.
10. Is fluency instruction integrated into each day's lesson?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	Not found in each daily lessons.
11. Is the decoding strategy taught so that it becomes automatic?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	No evidence found.
12. Are irregular words taught to be recognized automatically?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	Fluency was only addressed one or two days a week.
13. Is there an emphasis on reading multisyllabic words fluently?		X	X	X	X	X	X	0	No evidence found.
14. Are research-based fluency strategies (e.g., timed		X	X	X	X	X	X	1	

readings, peer reading, and repeated readings) included?									
15. Is fluency practice introduced after students are proficient at reading words accurately (e.g., in lists, sentences, and passages)?		X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
16. Does fluency practice involve decodable texts (texts that include phonic elements and word types students have previously been taught)?		X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
17. Are both narrative and expository texts provided for students to read aloud?		X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
18. Are teacher prompts included to encourage students to read aloud in order to determine skills application and accuracy?		X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
19. After error correction, are students asked to reread the word, word list, or sentence correctly and then to reread it from the beginning?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
20. Are students given ample practice opportunities to use text at their independent or instructional level to help build fluency?		X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
21. Is the number of texts at each level sufficient to provide adequate practice opportunities?		X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
22. Does the program clearly show the teacher how to determine independent, instructional, and frustrational reading levels for individual students?		X	X	X	X	X	X	0	No evidence found.
23. Is there a guide to help teachers calculate fluency rate?		X	X	X	X	X	X	0	No evidence found.
24. Do students have opportunities to time themselves and graph results after rereading the same text?			X	X	X	X	X	0	No evidence found.
25. Are there directions for how to pair students for partner reading?		X	X	X	X	X	X	0	No evidence found.
26. Are students taught a specific error correction to use when reading with a partner?		X	X	X	X	X	X	0	No evidence found.
27. Is there continuous progress monitoring of oral reading fluency?		X	X	X	X	X	X	0	No evidence found.
28. Is an end-of-the-year fluency goal of correct words per minute given for each grade?		X	X	X	X	X	X	0	No evidence found.
TOTAL								15	

VOCABULARY (V)	K	1	2	3	4	5	6		Comments (e.g., examples, strengths, concerns, questions)
1. Is vocabulary instruction explicit ?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
2. Is vocabulary instruction systematic ?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	

3. Does vocabulary instruction include coordinated instructional sequences and routines ?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
4. Is vocabulary instruction scaffolded ?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
5. Does vocabulary instruction include cumulative review ?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
6. Are assessments included to measure and monitor progress in vocabulary ?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
7. Is emphasis placed on listening and speaking vocabulary?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
8. Is there emphasis on reading and writing vocabulary?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	No emphasis on writing vocabulary.
9. Are students exposed to diverse vocabulary through listening to or reading narrative and expository texts?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
10. Does the program include frequent use of teacher read-alouds using higher level books with explanation and instruction of key vocabulary?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
11. Does the program include a variety of texts that allow students ample opportunities to engage in wide reading at their independent levels?		X	X	X	X	X	X	0	No evidence found.
12. Does vocabulary instruction occur before, during, and after reading?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
13. Are a limited number of words selected for robust, explicit vocabulary instruction?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
14. Are important, useful, and difficult words taught?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
15. Does the instructional routine for vocabulary include:									
Introducing the word?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
Presenting a student-friendly explanation?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
Clarifying the word with examples?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
Checking students' understanding?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	No evidence found.
16. Are ample opportunities provided to engage in oral vocabulary activities that:									
Repeat exposure to words in rich and multiple contexts?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
Use everyday language to explain word meanings?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
Connect word meanings to prior knowledge?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
17. Are students given multiple opportunities to use new words in reading sentences, paragraphs, or longer texts?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
18. Is extended instruction provided in multiple contexts to promote word awareness using word banks, vocabulary logs, writing, semantic maps, concept definition mapping, and word classification?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	

19. Are strategies taught over time to ensure understanding and correct application?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
20. Are meanings of prefixes, roots, and suffixes taught before connecting them to words?		X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
21. Is a strategy to determine word meanings based on meanings of prefixes, roots, and suffixes taught?		X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
22. Are various aspects of word study included (either under vocabulary or word recognition) such as:									
Concepts of word meaning?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
Multiple meanings?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
Synonyms?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
Antonyms?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
Homonyms?		X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
Figurative meanings?		X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
Morphemic analysis?			X	X	X	X	X	0	No evidence found.
23. Is dictionary use explicitly taught using grade-appropriate dictionaries?		X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
24. Is the use of context to gain the meaning of an unfamiliar word kept to a minimum?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
25. Is computer technology used to help teach vocabulary?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
TOTAL								32	

COMPRHENSION (C)	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	Score	Comments <i>(examples, strengths, concerns, questions)</i>
1. Is comprehension instruction explicit?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
2. Is comprehension instruction systematic?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
3. Does comprehension instruction include coordinated instructional sequences and routines?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
4. Is comprehension instruction scaffolded?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	

5. Does comprehension instruction include cumulative review?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
6. Are assessments included to measure and monitor progress in comprehension?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	No evidence found.
7. Is learning to determine which strategy to use and why (metacognition) part of instruction?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
8. When a strategy is taught, is it applied frequently so students understand its usefulness?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
9. Are students asked to apply previously learned strategies to new texts?		X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
10. Is appropriate text provided for students to practice applying strategies?		X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
11. Does program instruction enable students to establish and adjust purposes for reading (e.g., reading to understand, interpret, inform, to enjoy, and to solve problems)?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
12. Does instruction support the use of multiple, coordinated comprehension strategies?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
13. Are guided and supported cooperative learning groups suggested as an instructional technique?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
14. Does instruction begin with the use of short passages?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
15. Does instruction emphasize that students have a conceptual understanding of beginning, middle, and end?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
16. Does the program provide prompts for the teacher to guide the students through texts using think-alouds?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
17. Are models of effective questioning techniques (e.g., Bloom's taxonomy) provided to guide and monitor students' comprehension?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	No evidence found.
18. Are there ample opportunities for students to listen to narrative and expository text?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	All texts available to listen to on CD or on-line.
19. Is instruction in narrative and expository text structures explicit?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
20. Are there ample opportunities for students to read narrative and expository texts at independent and instructional levels?		X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
21. Is there a variety of narrative and expository books at the appropriate readability level for student practice?		X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
22. Do texts contain useful and familiar concepts and vocabulary?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
23. Are there instructional routines for comprehension strategies for use before, during, and after reading (e.g., prediction, story grammar, summarization, graphic organizers)?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
24. Is the "main idea" strategy taught systematically (e.g.,	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	

using pictures, then individual sentences, then paragraphs, etc.)?									
25. Once students have grasped the concept of "main idea," are more complex texts used in which the main idea is not explicit?		X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
26. Are elements of story grammar (e.g., setting, characters, important events, etc.) taught and used for retelling a story?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
27. Does instruction focus on discussion story grammar and comparing stories?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
28. Is story grammar introduced systematically, beginning with simple text that gradually becomes more complex?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
29. Are students taught to use graphic organizers to illustrate relationships among concepts in text (e.g., story maps, Venn diagrams, and semantic maps)?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
30. Are conventions of expository text (e.g., chapter headings, charts, and graphs) taught?		X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
31. Are explicit strategies for interpreting information from charts, graphs, tables, and diagrams taught?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
32. After instruction, is there systematic review of:									
Literal comprehension?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
Retelling?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
Main idea?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
Summarization?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
33. Does the program provide instruction for students to become self-directed in using comprehension strategies (e.g., rereading, paraphrasing, making explicit connections from text to prior knowledge, underlining and note-taking, and visualizing relationships and events in the text)?		X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
TOTAL								34	

STANDARDS ALIGNMENT	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	Score	Comments (examples, strengths, concerns, questions)
1. Is clear alignment provided to the Common Core State Standards?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
2. Is clear alignment provided to the Indiana Academic Standards?			X	X	X	X	X	1	
TOTAL								2	

MOTIVATION AND ENGAGEMENT (M&E)	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	Score	Comments (examples, strengths, concerns, questions)
1. Does the program direct the teacher in ways to increase student motivation such as:									
2. Making reading relevant to students' lives?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
3. Providing meaningful goals for learning from texts?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
4. Making available a variety of choices (e.g., texts and assignments) that align with instruction?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
5. Providing opportunities for students to work collaboratively?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
TOTAL								4	

ASSESSMENT (A)	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	Score	Comments (examples, strengths, concerns, questions)
1. Are assessments included that teachers can use to guide student movement through the program (e.g., screening, progress monitoring, diagnostic, and outcome measures)?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	No evidence found.
2. Does the program provide teacher guidance in using assessment results to differentiate instruction?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	No evidence found.
3. Do the assessments identify students who are at risk or already experiencing difficulty learning to read?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	No evidence found.
TOTAL								0	

PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT (PD)	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	Score	Comments (examples, strengths, concerns, questions)
1. Is adequate time offered for teachers to learn new concepts and practice what they have learned?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	No evidence found.
2. Is there a plan for coaches, mentors, peers, or outside experts to provide feedback to teachers and follow-up assistance as they put new concepts into practice?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
3. Are teachers taught how to administer and interpret assessments that accompany the program?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	No evidence found.

4. Is program PD customized to meet participants' varying needs (e.g., first-year teachers, coaches, and principals)?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	No evidence found.
5. Does the PD provide support (e.g., principal checklists, follow-up, in-class modeling, and a CD for viewing model lessons to facilitate application of content)?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	No evidence found.
TOTAL								1	